

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/811,042 03/17/2001 Stuart L. Axelson JR. S-003 (Systems) 5681 EXAMINER 7590 10/20/2006 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, REIMERS, ANNETTE R KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 3733

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/811,042	AXELSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette R. Reimers	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this community DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-81 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the second constant is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 09/811,042

Art Unit: 3733

1

DETAILED ACTION

Upon further consideration, the current examiner of record has determined that an election/restriction is required for this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-42 and 74-81, drawn to a system, classified in class 623, subclass 20.14.
- II. Claims 43-73, drawn to a system, classified in class 606, subclass 86.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related systems for guiding the resection of a bone during arthroplasty. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are considered two different systems for guiding the resection of a bone during arthroplasty. For example, system I, i.e., claims 1-42 and 74-81, requires an anchoring means and a means for locating a resection guide relative to the anchoring means. System II, i.e., claims 43-73, does not require an anchoring means and a means for locating a resection guide relative to the anchoring means for locating a resection guide relative to the anchoring means. In another example, system II requires an alignment guide coupled to a resection guide, wherein the alignment guide includes at least a first assembly for positioning the resection guide along a translational path. System I does not require an alignment guide coupled to a resection guide, wherein the alignment

Application/Control Number: 09/811,042

Art Unit: 3733

guide includes at least a first assembly for positioning the resection guide along a translational path. System II also has additional requirements, such as an alignment guide with a second assembly, an alignment guide with a third assembly and rotational paths, which are not required in system I.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Application/Control Number: 09/811,042

Art Unit: 3733

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/811,042

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

AK

EDUARDÓ C ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER